

Evolution of recursive snarks

Oleg Taraskin, Waves

tog.postquant@gmail.com

Zero Knowledge Proofs

- Completeness
- Soundness
- Zero-knowledge

zkSNARK

- zero knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Argument of Knowledge

$$C(x, w) = 0$$

$$h = \text{SHA-256}(m)$$

The most widely used snarks

- Groth16
- STARK
- Plonk (and its modifications)
- Halo2

Pairing Friendly Curves

$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ over field F_p

q — order of prime subgroup of E

Embedding degree with respect to q : minimal k : q divides $p^d - 1$

Pairing-friendly if d is small:

Example: curve BLS12-381 has degree = 12

Pairings

Bilinear map $e : e(G_1, G_2) \rightarrow G_t$

1. Bilinearity:

for any S from G_1 , T from G_2 and integers a and b

$$e(aS, bT) = e(S, T)^{ab}$$

2. Non-degeneracy:

for any S from G_1 , $e(S, T) = 1$ iff $T = 0$

for any T from G_2 , $e(S, T) = 1$ iff $S = 0$,

where 0 – point at infinity

Pairing Friendly Curves security

Depends both on

1. hardness of solving of ECDLP:

$$A = xB$$

2. hardness of DLP problem in the field $GF(p^k)$:

$$a^x = b$$

for known a, b from $GF(p^k)$ and unknown natural x
 k is embedding degree

Best known method of breaking DLP is called Number Field Sieve (NFS)

Chains of elliptic curves

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \text{ over } F_p$$

q - order of prime subgroup G_1 of E_1

$$E_2 : y^2 = x^3 + a'x + b' \text{ over } F_r$$

p - order of prime subgroup G_2 of E_2



E_1 and E_2 are curves of chain of length 2

Next curve must have order of G equal to field of previous curve

Cycles of elliptic curves

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \text{ over } F_p$$

q - order of prime subgroup G_1 of E_1

$$E_2 : y^2 = x^3 + a'x + b' \text{ over } F_q$$

p - order of prime subgroup G_2 of E_2

E_1 and E_2 are curves of **cycle** of length 2

Some cyclic pairing-friendly curves

- Curves MNT 4 and MNT 6 form a cycle
- Length of field characteristic is 753 bits !!
- Solving down ~ 10 times 😞

Recursive proof

Circuit \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathcal{C}(w, x) = 0$$

w – witness, x – public

vk – verification key

1. “Internal” Prover:

proves $\mathcal{C}(w, x) = 0$: creates proof π_{inner} , so all can check it by running

$$Verify_{int}(vk, x, \pi_{int}) = 1$$

2. “External” Prover:

proves circuit $Verify_{int}(vk, x, \pi_{int}) - 1 = 0$

using π_{int} as witness

so all can check it by running

$$Verify_{ext}(vk, x, \pi_{ext}) = 1$$

Use cases of snark recursion

- Compression of proof
- zkRollups
- IVC incremental verifiable computing

Verification in Groth16

$$e(A, B) = e(\alpha G, \beta H) * e(\sum_{j=0}^t a_j S_j, \gamma H) * e(C, \gamma H)$$

The diagram illustrates the components of the Groth16 verification equation. Three labels at the bottom are connected by blue arrows to specific terms in the equation above:

- proof** (blue text) has two arrows pointing to A and B in $e(A, B)$.
- verification key** (green text) has two arrows: one pointing to αG and βH in $e(\alpha G, \beta H)$, and another pointing to γH in $e(C, \gamma H)$.
- public inputs** (green text) has one arrow pointing to $\sum_{j=0}^t a_j S_j$ in $e(\sum_{j=0}^t a_j S_j, \gamma H)$.

Plonk

- Uses KZG commitment that need pairing-friendly curves
- As result Plonk has the same problems as groth16 with recursion

Solution

Use another polynomial commitments, such that don't use pairings:

FRI (Fast Reed-Solomon Interactive oracle proofs)

Inner Product Argument

Cycle curves

- Pasta curves (Pallas and Vesta)

$$y^2 = x^3 + 5 \text{ over } F_p$$

Pallas curve:

[illegible]

Vesta curve:

[illegible]

Inner Product Argument

Prover

Verifier

$C = \text{Commitment}(p(x))$

C →

← x

calc. $v = p(x)$
and proof π

v, π →

check v, π
and know is $p(x) = v$?

Pedersen commitment

G – vector of n group generators

U – generator

p – vector of n coeff. of $p(x)$

$C = \langle \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{p} \rangle$ - commitment of $p(x)$

Proof of $v = f(x)$:

$$\pi = \{\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R}, G^{(0)}, p^{(0)}\}$$

L, R – vectors of length $k = \log_2 n$

Inner Product Argument

Prover

Verifier

\mathbf{b} – vector $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$

$v = \langle \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$

$C^{(k)} = C + vU$

Round k



u_k

$$\mathbf{p}^{(k-1)} = u_k \mathbf{p}_{lo}^k + u_k^{-1} \mathbf{p}_{hi}^k$$

$$\mathbf{b}^{(k-1)} = u_k^{-1} \mathbf{b}_{lo}^k + u_k \mathbf{b}_{hi}^k$$

$$\mathbf{G}^{(k-1)} = u_k^{-1} \mathbf{G}_{lo}^k + u_k \mathbf{G}_{hi}^k$$

Inner Product Argument

$$C^{(k)} = C + vU$$



$$C^{(k-1)} = \langle \mathbf{p}^{(k-1)}, \mathbf{G}^{(k-1)} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p}^{(k-1)}, \mathbf{b}^{(k-1)} \rangle U$$

$$C^{(k-2)} = \langle \mathbf{p}^{(k-2)}, \mathbf{G}^{(k-2)} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{p}^{(k-2)}, \mathbf{b}^{(k-2)} \rangle U$$

$$C^{(k-2)} = C^{(k-1)} + u_{k-2} L^{(k-1)} + u_{k-2}^{-2} R^{(k-1)}$$

Inner Product Argument

Verifier :

$$b_0 = \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

$$\text{Check } \mathbf{G}^{(0)} = \langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{G} \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{s} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^{-1} & u_2^{-1} & \cdots & u_k^{-1}, \\ u_1 & u_2^{-1} & \cdots & u_k^{-1}, \\ u_1^{-1} & u_2 & \cdots & u_k^{-1}, \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_k^{-1}, \\ \vdots & & & \\ u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_k \end{pmatrix}$$